1	G. Thomas Martin, III (SBN 218456) PRICE LAW GROUP, APC		
2	15760 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1100 Encino, CA 91436		
3	Direct Dial: (818) 907-2030		
4	Fax: (818) 205-3730 tom@plglawfirm.com		
5	tom@plglawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, DEBRA WALKER		
6	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COLIDT	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	DEBRA WALKER,	Case No.:	
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
12	VS.	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)	
13	, , ,		
14	FIRST BRIDGEPORT FINANCIAL,	Demand Does Not Exceed \$10,000	
15	INC.; and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	<u>COMPLAINT</u>		
19	INTRODUCTION		
20			
21	1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought by		
22	plaintiff DEBRA WALKER, an individual consumer, against defendant FIRST		
23	BRIDGEPORT FINANCIAL, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "BRIDGEPORT"		
24	BRIDGEI ORT I IIVIIVEITE, IIVE. (IIC	iciliation referred to as BRIDGEI ORT	
25	FINANCIAL" or "Defendant") for violations of the Fair Debt Collection		
26	Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibit		
27	Tracacco from 15 c.s.c. § 1072 et seq. (noremator 1 ber 11), which promote		
28	debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.		

| | |

| | |

| | |

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1337. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff DEBRA WALKER is a consumer, a natural person allegedly obligated to pay any debt, residing in San Jose, Santa Clara County, California 95125.
- 4. Defendant BRIDGEPORT FINANCIAL a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debt in this state, and maintains its corporate office at 1111 Willow Street, Suite 1, San Jose, California 95125. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts in this state and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 5. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and the Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2.

- 2 -

FACTS

- 6. Upon information and belief, within one year prior to the filing of this complaint, Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff, seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt owed under an account number.
- 7. Defendant constantly and continuously calls Plaintiff on her cellular and home telephone numbers from telephone number 408-947-1662 to annoy her and with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute harassment.
- 8. Defendant repeatedly and continuously calls Plaintiff on her cellular telephone multiple times per day in an attempt to collect an alleged debt.
- 9. Defendant called Plaintiff at times which it knew or reasonably should have known were inconvenient to Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendant threatened to garnish Plaintiff's wages and put a lien on Plaintiff's assets.
- 11. Defendant calls Plaintiff and hangs up before Plaintiff or voicemail can answer.
- 12. Defendant is a debt collection company and as a debt collection company attempting to collect an attempted debt, Defendant can only refer the matter back to the creditor with a recommendation that the original creditor attempt legal proceedings which could result in garnishment.

13.	Defendant engaged in false and deceptive means by failing to
meaningfull	y disclose its identity.

- 14. Defendant's constant and continuous calls have caused Plaintiff to suffer emotional distress, stress, humiliation, and anxiety, among other negative emotions.
- 15. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were to produce an unpleasant and/or hostile situation between Defendant and Plaintiff.

COUNT I – FDCPA

- 16. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 17. Defendant violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to the following:
 - i) 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(1);
 - ii) 15 U.S.C. §1692d(5);
 - iii) 15 U.S.C. §1692d(6);
 - iv) 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10);
 - v) 15 U.S.C. §1692e(11).

18. Section 1692c(a)(1) states in pertinent part that:

- (a) COMMUNICATION WITH THE CONSUMER GENERALLY. Without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, a debt collector may not communicate with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt—
- (1) At any unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the consumer. In the absence of knowledge of circumstances to the contrary, a debt collector shall assume that the convenient time for communicating with a consumer is after 8 o'clock antemeridian and before 9 o'clock postmeridian, local time at the consumer's location.
- 19. Sections 1692d(5) and d(6) state in pertinent part that:

A debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

. . .

- (5) Causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
- (6) Except as provided in section 1692b of this title, the placement of telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity.
- 20. Sections 1692 e(10) and e(11) state in pertinent part that:

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

...

- (10) The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.
- (11) The failure to disclose in the initial written communication with the consumer and, in addition, if the initial communication with the

consumer is oral, in that initial oral communication, that the debt collector is attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose, and the failure to disclose in subsequent communications that the communication is from a debt collector, except that this paragraph shall not apply to a formal pleading made in connection with a legal action.

COUNT II – RFDCPA

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 20.
- 22. Defendant thereby violated the following provisions of the

RFDCPA:

- i) Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(b);
- ii) Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(d);
- iii) Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(e); and
- iv) Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
- 23. Sections 1788.11(b), (d) and (e) state in pertinent part that:

No debt collector shall collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt by means of the following practices:

• • •

- (b) Placing telephone calls without disclosure of the caller's identity, provided that an employee of a licensed collection agency may identify herself by using his registered alias;
- (d) Causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy the person called; or
- (e) Communicating, by telephone or in person, with the debtor with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute an harassment to the debtor under the circumstances.

28

24. Section 1788.17 states in pertinent part as follows:

Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, every debt collector collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt shall comply with the provisions of Sections 1692b to 1692j, inclusive, of, and shall be subject to the remedies in Section 1692k of, Title 15 of the United States Code. However, subsection (11) of Section 1692e and Section 1692g shall not apply to any person specified in paragraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (6) of Section 1692a of Title 15 of the United States Code or that person's principal. The references to federal codes in this section refer to those codes as they read January 1, 2001.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Court should enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for:

- (1) Statutory and actual damages;
- (2) Attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit;
- (3) Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, PRICE LAW GROUP APC

By: /s/ G. Thomas Martin, III

G. Thomas Martin, III

Attorney for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DEBRA WALKER demands trial by jury in this action.